

# **The De Anza Academic Senate**

## **Approved Notes of the meeting of**

### **May 2nd, 2011**

**Senators and Officers present:** Anderson, Beckum, Botsford, Chow, Glapion, Guevara, Goldman, Hanna, Kryliouk Lee-Wheat, Larson, Lathers, Lee, Lewis, Maynard, Mello, Nickel, Schaffer, Setziol, Stockwell, Sullivan, Swanner, Tao, and Yang

**Senators and Officers Absent:** Annen, Betlach, Buchanan, Castaño, and Nguyen

**DASB:** Kevin Trinh

**Classified Senate:**

**Administrative Liaison:** Rowena Tomaneng

**Guests:** Beth Grobman, Kulwant Singh, and Trang Nguyen,

**SLO coordinators/Staff Development:**

**Curriculum Co Chair:** Anu Khanna

**[NOTE: Item numbers are reflective of agenda numbers in the order they are actually taken up at the meeting.]**

**The meeting was called to order at 2:33, a quorum being present.**

**I. Approval of Agenda and Notes:** The agenda was approved as distributed. The draft notes of April 25<sup>th</sup> were approved as distributed.

**II. Needs and Confirmations:** Lee-Wheat began by pointing to a need for faculty to serve on the Student Discipline Hearing Board and the Student Grievance Board. Goldman volunteered to join the Student Discipline Hearing Board. There is an ongoing need for faculty to serve on the Financial Services and Educational Resources Planning and Budgeting Team.

**III. Vice President and President's Reports:** Anderson began by reporting on the budget town hall conducted by District Chancellor Linda Thor and College President Brian Murphy. Much of the time of that meeting (which ended less than two hours before the Executive Committee meeting) was spent in rumor control. The need for rumor control and the trickiness of dealing with rumors was demonstrated during Anderson's report. As a result of recent events, facilitating and encouraging activism on the part of faculty will be a major topic on the May 9<sup>th</sup> agenda. In addition to this, a resolution aimed at reconciling the seemingly opposing positions on using SLOs as part of faculty evaluations (accreditation standard calling for it and collective bargaining agreement and Academic Senate resolutions barring it). Anderson concluded by bringing up program

discontinuance and the fact that the District group connected to it (the Academic and Professional Matters group) did not meet often enough and hasn't tackled the issue with the urgency or seriousness it deserves.

After Anderson concluded, Maynard was recognized and Maynard proceeded to congratulate the group on filling the time slots for the Academic Senate election. No candidates had submitted candidate statements at the time of the meeting but the deadline for candidate statements has not yet passed (May 6<sup>th</sup>).

**IV. College and Division Work on Budget and APRU:** The members of the group participated in an exercise wherein they got into division and then groups of division short caucuses to determine the nature of process and the extent of faculty participation in department and division budget cutting and Annual Program Review Updates (APRUs).

**V. Governance Survey: Results:** Anderson presented a number of slides showing both numerical and written response data. Some of the results were surprising and some were not but whether they were surprising or not, some responses merited serious consideration and discussion. Anderson announced that the discussion would come May 9<sup>th</sup>.

**VI. Good of the Order:** - Chow distributed a position paper on the treatment of part time faculty in times of budget cuts affecting them directly.

- The annual Duel at De Anza will be held Sunday, May 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- There will be a May Day event Thursday, May 5<sup>th</sup> at the campus center.

**The meeting was adjourned at 4:28**